IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.M.JOSEPH

FRIDAY, THE 10TH AUGUST 2007 / 19TH SRAVANA 1929

WP(C).No. 22918 of 2007(C)

PETITIONER:

---

1. K.KUNJUNNI, AGED 56, RAJESH VIHARAM,
   VETTUKATTIL KUNDIL HOUSE, PO CHELAKARA,
   THRISSUR DISTRICT.

2. A.J.MATHEW, ALAPPATU HOUSE,
   PO PUZHAKKAL, THRISSUR, THRISSUR DISTRICT.

3. K.RAMAKRISHNAN NAIR, KADALAYIL HOUSE,
   PRATHIBHA, OTTUPRA, PO KUMARANELLUR,
   TALAPPILLY TALUK.

4. E.AMMINI, ELANKUTTIL HOUSE,
   CHAKOTH LANE, POONKUNNAM, THRISSUR.

5. T.K.GOVINDAN, THAVALAKULANGARA HOUSE,
   GANDHIGRAM PO, IRINJALAKUDA, MUKUNDAPURAM TALUK.

6. C.RAPHEAL, CHELLAPADAN HOUSE,
   NAZRATH ANGADI, NELLIKUNNU, THRISSUR,
   THRISSUR DISTRICT.

7. P.S.RAVEENDRAN, PARIYARATHUVALAPPIL
   HOUSE, KANNAMKULANGARA, THRISSUR.

8. C.JOY PETER, CHERUVATHUR HOUSE,
   HILL BAZAR, KUNNAMKULAM, THRISSUR DISTRICT.

9. K.ARAVINDAKSHAN, KUNNATH HOUSE,
   P.O.PERINJANAM, THRISSUR DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.G.SREEKUMAR (CHELUR)

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. THE THRISSUR DISTRICT CO-OP.BANK LTD.,
   HEAD OFFICE, THRISSUR, REP.BY ITS GENERAL MANAGER,
   THRISSUR.

2. THE JOINT REGISTRAR OF CO-OP.SOCIETIES,
   THRISSUR.

3. THE REGISTRAR OF CO-OP. SOCIETIES,
   THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

4. STATE OF KERALA,
   REP.BY THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT.,
   CO-OPERATIVE DEPARTMENT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV.SRI.A.G.ARUN, SC.
GOVT.PLEADER SMT.ANU SIVARAMAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 10/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K. M. JOSEPH, J.

W.P.C. NO. 22918 OF 2007 C

Dated this the 10th August, 2007

JUDGMENT

Petitioners claim the benefit of Ext.P1 Judgment and they came with a prayer to give all benefits in terms of Ext.P1 to the petitioners, holding that they are similarly placed persons who are benefitted under Ext.P1 and such disbursement may be directed to be had within such time as may be fixed by this Court. There is no demand produced by the petitioners, even though there is reference to a request having been made. In such circumstances, a writ of mandamus is not maintainable without demand being made as such and unless circumstances exists. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the respondent Bank also. If the petitioners made a demand before the first respondent Bank, the first respondent will consider and take a appropriate decision in accordance with law, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a copy of this Judgment.

K. M. JOSEPH, JUDGE

kbk.